



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**ENERGY ABSORPTION CHARACTERISTICS OF RADIALY
CORRUGATED COMPOSITE SHELLS UNDER DIFFERENT
QUASI-STATIC LOADING CONDITIONS**

ELFETORI FARAJ ABDEWI

FK 2007 88

**ENERGY ABSORPTION CHARACTERISTICS OF RADIALY
CORRUGATED COMPOSITE SHELLS UNDER DIFFERENT
QUASI-STATIC LOADING CONDITIONS**

ELFETORI FARAJ ABDEWI

**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

2007



**ENERGY ABSORPTION CHARACTERISTICS OF RADIALY
CORRUGATED COMPOSITE SHELLS UNDER DIFFERENT
QUASI-STATIC LOADING CONDITIONS**

By

ELFETORI FARAJ ABDEWI

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in
Fulfilment of the Requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

July 2007



DEDICATION

To my exemplary parents

Who taught, care, ...and support me since the first seconds of my life,

To my honored wife Rugaya who really the great support behind this success,

To my wonderful children, Fatima-azzahra, Abdulrahman, and Muhammad

Whom I am very proud.



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in
fulfilment of the requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**ENERGY ABSORPTION CHARACTERISTICS OF RADIALY
CORRUGATED COMPOSITE SHELLS UNDER DIFFERENT
QUASI-STATIC LOADING CONDITIONS**

BY

ELFETORI FARAJ ABDEWI

July 2007

Chairman: Professor Shamsuddin Sulaiman, PhD

Faculty: Engineering

This research is devoted to investigate the effect of structural geometry on the crushing behaviour, energy absorption, failure mechanism, and failure mode of radially corrugated composite shells. A multi-discipline literature review on the use of composite materials in the field of crashworthiness was carried out. Based on the literature review findings, new composite structure (Radially Corrugated Composite Tube RCCT) was proposed to be fabricated and investigated experimentally.

An extensive experimental program has been performed through four main phases. First phase involves fabrication and testing of three different sizes of cylindrical composite shells. Three sizes of Cylindrical Composite Tubes (CCT) were first tested mainly in order to set the basis for comparison when testing subsequent radially corrugated tubes. Moreover, to find out the effect of tubes' diameter to thickness ratio (d/t) on energy absorption capability. Second phase deals with comparison between three geometrical different shells: Cylindrical Composite Tube (CCT), Radially Corrugated Composite Tube (RCCT), and Combined Radially

Corrugated Composite Tube (CRCT). Results found at this phase shows that RCCT fit to proceed for further investigation. The comparison between tested models at each phase has been carried out based on the criteria of maximum energy absorption. The third phase involves examining the corrugation profile. Three different profiles have been examined (Sinusoidal Profile Corrugated Tube (SPCT), Triangular Profile Corrugated Composite Tube (TRCT), and Trapezoidal Profile Corrugated Composite Tube (TZCT)). Results found show that radially corrugated tube with sinusoidal profile gives the best result in terms of energy absorption capability. Finally, further investigations have been carried out on the tube with sinusoidal profile in order to test the effect of corrugation density. At this phase, in addition to the 16-corrugation that have been tested, three more models with same dimensions and different corrugation densities had also been tested. 18-corrugation, 20-corrugation, and 22-corrugation (RCCT-18, RCCT-20, and RCCT-22) have been investigated. Here, it is wise to mention that all corrugations have the same shape and dimensions. Moreover, 22 corrugations were found the maximum number of corrugations that can be fabricated in the tube circumference. In other words it was impossible to fabricate a tube with more than 22-corrugations at that certain diameter, since all tested composite tubes have the same length and diameter at all testing phases. Results show that corrugation density has an influence on the performance of composite shells as an energy absorber. It has been found that as corrugation density increases, total energy absorption increases.

All models were subjected to two kinds of load: axial as well as lateral quasi-static compressive load. Transfer from one phase to another was carried out based on the results of axial load. All models were tested under same condition. For axial tests,

RCCT exhibits excellent results compared to other models through out all research phases. However, for lateral tests, there is a little influence of the geometry on the tested parameters. Failure modes were examined for each specimen using digitally recorded photographs taken during the crushing of the specimens and employing optical microscope.

Linear buckling finite element was conducted for all models using commercially available Finite Element Software (LUSAS). Numerical results presented via includes predicted critical load, deformation mesh, and stress contours. Experimental and numerical results were presented for all models at different load cases. Results obtained show good agreement between experimental and numerical study. Among all models, radially corrugated composite tube with sinusoidal profile and 22-corrugation density model (RCCT-22) exhibit the best result with respect to the tested parameters.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**CIRI PENYERAPAN TENAGA SECARA RADIAL KELOMPANG
KOMPOSIT BERALUN DIBAWAH KEADAAN BEBANAN
QUASI-STATIK BERBEZA**

Oleh

ELFETORI FARAJ ABDEWI

Julai 2007

Pengerusi: Profesor Shamsuddin Sulaiman, PhD

Fakulti: Kejuruteraan

Penyelidikan ini berkaitan kajian kesan struktur geometri keatas kelakuan penghancuran, penyerapan tenaga, mekanisma kegagalan dan mod kegagalan tiub komposit beralun selari. Sorotan kajian multi-disiplin penggunaan bahan komposit dalam bidang *crashworthiness* telah dijalankan. Berdasarkan penemuan sorotan kajian, struktur komposit baru (Komposit beralun selari RCCT) telah dicadangkan untuk di buat dan dikaji secara eksperimen.

Program eksperimen ekstensif telah dilakukan melalui empat fasa utama. Fasa pertama termasuk pembentukan dan ujian ke atas kelompok komposit selinder dengan tiga saiz yang berbeza. Tiga saiz Tiub Komposit Selinder (CCT) telah diuji dengan dua sebab utama: Pertama, untuk mendapatkan dimensi optimum pembantuan dan ujian tiub beralun selari, dan menjadi tajuk utama penyelidikan ini. Kedua, menjadi asas perbandingan bila menguji tiub beralun selari berikutnya. Fasa kedua berkaitan dengan perbandingan tiga kelompok geometri berbeza: Tiub Komposit Selinder (CCT), Tiub Komposit beralun Selari (RCCT), dan Gabungan

Tiub Komposit beralun Selari (CRCT). Penemuan keputusanfasa ini menunjukkan RCCT sesuai untuk penyelidikan seterusnya. Fasa tiga melibatkan pemeriksaan profil alunan. Tiga profil berbeza telah diperiksa. Tiub Beralun Profil Sinusoid (RCCT), Tiub Komposit Beralun Profil Segi tiga (TRCT) dan Tiub Komposit Beralun Profil Trapezoid (TZCT). Keputusan menunjukkan tiub beralun selari dengan profil sinusoid memberikan keputusan terbaik berkaitan keupayaan penyerapan tenaga. Akhirnya penyelidikan seterusnya telah dilakukan keatas tiub profil sinusoidal untuk melihat kesan ketumpatan alunan. Pada fasa ini, selain daripada 16-alunan yang telah diuji, tiga model lagi dengan dimensi sama dan ketumpatan alunan berbeza juga telah diuji. 18-alunan, 20-alunan dan 22-alunan (RCCT-18, RCCT-20 dan RCCT-22) telah di kaji. Adalah diingat bahawa kesemua alunan mempunyai dimensi dan bentuk yang sama. Lebih dari itu, 22 alunan telah ditemui menjadi maksimum alunan yang boleh dihasilkan dengan ukurlilit tiub. Dengan lain perkataan, adalah mustahil untuk membentuk tiub dengan lebih daripada 22-alunan kerana kesemua tiub komposit telah diuji dengan panjang dan diameter sama pada semua fasa ujian. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa ketumpatan alunan mempengaruhi prestasi tiub komposit sebagai penyerap tenaga. Penemuan juga menunjukkan peningkatan ketumpatan alunan akan menambah jumlah tenaga yang diserap.

Kesemua model tertakluk kepada dua bentuk bebanan: bebanan paksi dan bebanan mampatan kuasi-statik sisi. Pindahan dari satu fasa ke satu fasa telah dijalankan berdasarkan keputusan bebanan paksi. Kesemua model telah diuji dibawah keadaan yang sama. Untuk ujian paksi, RCCT berkelakuan keputusan cemerlang berbanding model-model lain dalam semua fasa. Sungguhpun begitu, untuk ujian sisi, terdapat

sedikit pengaruh geometri keatas parameter ujian. Mod kegagalan telah diperiksa pada setiap spesimen menggunakan rakaman foto digit yang diambil semasa menghancurkan spesimen dan juga menggunakan mikroskop optik.

Sebagai tambahan, kajian linear unsure terhingga telah dijalankan menggunakan perisian Unsur Terhingga (LUSAS). Keputusan berangka dibentangkan termasuk beban genting teramal, jaringan ubah bentuk dan kontur tegasan. Keputusan eksperimen dan berangka dibentangkan untuk semua model pada bebanan berbeza. Keputusan diperolehi menunjukkan persetujuan yang baik antara eksperimen dan kajian berangka. Daripada kesemua model, model tiub komposit beralun selari dengan profil sinusoid dan ketumpatan 22-alunan (RCCT-22) memberikan keputusan baik berdasarkan parameter ujian.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, I am thankful to **ALLAH** “God”, every moment I’m thankful to Allah (S.W.T) for having looked after me through all my life, and without his wish this work would never have been possible.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude and deep thanks to my supervisor Associate Professor Dr. Shamsuddin Sulaiman for his guidance, advice, and support. His encouragement and suggestions have made major impact on the progress of this research work.

My appreciation and thanks to my supervisory committee members; Prof. Dr. Abdel Magid S. Hamouda and Dr. Elsadig Mahdi Ahmed for valuable comments and guidance. Special thanks to Prof. Hamouda for much useful advice and reviewing some of my publications.

I wish to express my thanks to all staff and technicians of Faculty of Engineering (UPM) who support this work, particularly my colleagues in Numerical Spatial Modelling Laboratory, and technicians Mr. Ahmed Shaifuldeen and Mr. Tajo Eldin.

I express my thanks to my country for providing me this opportunity to pursue my studies and for financial support. Special thanks extend to Mr. Massry Khalifa AL-massry and to all people who assist me in this aspect.

Finally, no words can be suitable to express my sincere thanks to my parents, my wife, my children, my brothers, and my lovely sister for their unlimited support and their patience until I achieve my dreams. Really great and special thanks to my wife Rugaya, and my wonderful children, Fatma-azzhra, Abdulrahman, and Muhammad for their suffering and struggling throughout the period of my study. Their support, encouragement, and love are behind my success. I pray to Allah (S.W.T) to reward all my family members for their patient and support. “*Wa Allhamdu le Allah rabb Al-alammen*”.

I certify that an Examination Committee has met on 30th of July 2007 to conduct the final examination of Elfetori Faraj Abdewi on his Doctor of Philosophy thesis entitled “Energy absorption characteristics of radially corrugated composite shells under different quasi-static loading conditions” in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

Datin Napsiah Ismail, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Megat Mohamad Hamdan Megat Ahmad, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Ir. Mohd Sapuan Salit, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Radzali Othman, PhD

Professor
School of Materials and Mineral Resources Engineering
Universiti Sains Malaysia
(External Examiner)

HASANAH MOHD. GHAZALI, PhD

Professor/Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 27 September 2007

This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Shamsuddin Sulaiman, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Abdel Magid S. Hamouda, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Elsadig Mahdi Ahmed, PhD

Lecturer
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

AINI IDERIS, PhD

Professor/Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 15 November 2007

DECLARATION

I declare that the thesis is my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously, and is not concurrently, submitted for any other degree at Universiti Putra Malaysia or at any other institution.

ELFETORI FARAJ ABDEWI

Date: 29 August 2007

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DEDICATION	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	vi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ix
APPROVAL	x
DECLARATION	xii
LIST OF TABLES	xvii
LIST OF FIGURES	xx
NOTATION AND ABBREVIATIONS	xxx
 CHAPTER	
 1 INTRODUCTION	 1
1.1 General	1
1.2 Research Statement	2
1.3 Research Objectives	3
1.4 Method of Approach	4
1.5 Thesis Layout	5
 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	 6
2.1 Composite Materials	6
2.1.1 Historical perspective	7
2.1.2 Reinforcing phase	9
2.1.3 Matrix phase	13
2.1.4 Comparison with conventional materials	14
2.1.5 Present usage of composite materials	16
2.2 Mechanics of Composite Materials	17
2.2.1 Isotropic Material	17
2.2.2 Anisotropic Materials	19
2.2.3 Transformation of axes	23
2.2.4 Transformed reduced stiffness	25
2.2.5 Classical Lamination Theory	26
2.2.6 Laminates Stiffness: The ABD Matrix	27
2.3 Failure Theories of Composite Materials	30
2.3.1 Maximum stress theory	31
2.3.2 Maximum strain theory	32
2.3.3 Tsai-Hill Theory	34
2.3.4 Tsai-Wu Failure Theory	35
2.4 Energy Absorption in Composite Materials	37
2.4.1 Total energy absorbed (E_T)	38
2.4.2 Crush Force Efficiency (CFE)	39
2.4.3 Stroke Efficiency (SE)	39
2.4.4 Specific energy absorption (E_s)	40
2.5 Failure Modes of Composite Materials	41
2.5.1 Fragmentation (transverse shearing)	41
2.5.2 Splaying (lamina bending) crushing mode	42

	2.5.3 Folding (local buckling) failure mode	43
2.6	Finite Element Modeling	44
2.7	Parameters Influence Energy Absorption Capability of composite materials	46
	2.7.1 Microstructural variables	47
	2.7.2 Loading conditions	50
	2.7.3 Structural geometry	51
2.8	Summary	56
3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	58
3.1	Experimental Work	58
3.2	Specimens Preparation	59
3.3	Testing Procedure	60
3.4	Finite Element Simulation	61
3.5	Summary	67
4	EXPERIMENTAL WORK	68
4.1	Geometry and Material	68
4.2	Fabrication Process	73
4.3	Design and commission of a machine for producing corrugated composite tubes	76
4.4	Testing Procedure	80
4.5	Mechanical Properties	81
	4.5.1 Fiber Volume Fraction	81
	4.5.2 Tensile Test	90
	4.5.3 Tensile test of composite specimens	91
	4.5.4 Tensile test of matrix specimens	93
4.6	Summary	98
5	FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS AND RESULTS	100
5.1	Element Description	101
	5.1.1 Thick Shell Element	101
5.2	Boundary Conditions	103
	5.2.1 Model verification	103
	5.2.2 Axial Compression	104
	5.2.3 Lateral Compression	104
5.3	Finite Element Results	117
	5.3.1 CCT Models subjected to axial compression load	117
	5.3.2 CCT Models subjected to lateral compression load	122
	5.3.3 RCCT and CRCT Models subjected to axial compression load	127
	5.3.4 RCCT and CRCT Models subjected to lateral compression load	131
	5.3.5 TRCT and TZCT Models subjected to axial compression load	135
	5.3.6 TRCT and TZCT Models subjected to lateral compression load	139

5.3.7	RCCT-18, RCCT-20 & RCCT-22 Models subjected to axial compression load	143
5.3.8	RCCT-18, RCCT-20 & RCCT-22 Models subjected to lateral compression load	148
5.4	Summary	153
6	EXPERIMENTAL WORK RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	154
6.1	Phase one: Effect of Geometrical Analysis	155
6.1.1	Axial crushing	156
6.1.1.1	Crushing Energy Absorption	156
6.1.1.2	Crushing Deformation History	157
6.1.1.3	Crashworthiness Parameters (CP)	158
6.1.2	Lateral crushing	165
6.1.2.1	Crushing Energy Absorption	166
6.1.2.2	Crushing Deformation History	167
6.1.2.3	Crashworthiness Parameters	167
6.1.3	Discussion	174
6.1.3.1	Axial load	174
6.1.3.2	Lateral load	175
6.1.3.3	Concluding remarks	177
6.2	Phase two: Effect of Model shape Analysis	177
6.2.1	Axial crushing	178
6.2.1.1	Failure modes	179
6.2.1.2	Crashworthiness Parameters	184
6.2.2	Lateral crushing	187
6.2.2.1	Failure modes	188
6.2.2.2	Crashworthiness parameters	193
6.2.3	Discussion	196
6.2.3.1	Axial Load	196
6.2.3.2	Lateral load	197
6.2.3.3	Concluding remarks	198
6.3	Phase three: Effect of Corrugation Profile	198
6.3.1	Axial crushing	199
6.3.1.1	Failure modes	200
6.3.1.2	Crashworthiness Parameters	206
6.3.2	Lateral crushing	208
6.3.2.1	Failure modes	208
6.3.2.2	Crashworthiness parameters	213
6.3.3	Discussion	216
6.3.3.1	Axial Load	216
6.3.3.2	Lateral Load	217
6.3.4	Concluding remarks	218
6.4	Phase four: Effect of Corrugation Density	219
6.4.1	Axial Crushing	221
6.4.1.1	Failure modes	222
6.4.1.2	Crashworthiness Parameters	228
6.4.2	Lateral crushing	231
6.4.2.1	Failure modes	231
6.4.2.2	Crashworthiness Parameters	238

6.4.3	Discussion	241
6.4.3.1	Axial Load	241
6.4.3.2	Lateral Load	242
6.4.3.3	Concluding remarks	243
6.5	Microscopic Examination	244
6.6	Concluding Remarks	245
7	OVERALL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	248
7.1	Energy Absorption Characteristics	248
7.1.1	Effect of Tube Geometry	257
7.1.2	Effect of Tube Shape	257
7.1.3	Effect of corrugation profile	258
7.1.4	Effect of corrugation density	258
7.2	Failure Modes	259
7.2.1	Progressive folding	259
7.2.2	Interpenetration failure mode	259
7.2.3	Axial splitting in splaying failure mode	260
7.2.4	Splaying failure mode	260
7.3	Comparison between Experimental and Finite Element Results	268
8	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	274
8.1	General Conclusions	275
8.1.1	Axial crushing	275
8.1.2	Lateral crushing	277
8.1.3	Finite Element Analysis	279
8.2	Recommendations for Future Work	280
	REFERENCES	282
	APPENDICES	288
	BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR	309
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	310

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
2.1 Fiber properties	10
2.2 Composition of glass used for fiber manufacture	12
2.3 Mechanical properties of some thermoset resins	14
2.4 Comparison of some typical values of the properties of engineering materials	15
2.5 Carbon fibers market growth in North America, by applications, through 2007 (\$ Millions)	16
4.1 Specimens specification	72
4.2 Specifications of specimens for mechanical properties	83
4.3 Weight of volume fraction specimens before and after burning	84
4.4 Specimens' volume calculated using water method	85
4.5 Specimens' volume obtained by two methods and differences	85
4.6 Epoxy resin matrix specification	87
4.7 Fiber density of composite specimens	88
4.8 Tensile Specimen geometry specification (Composite specimen 0/90)	92
4.9 Tensile Specimen geometry specification (Composite specimen 45/45)	93
4.10 Tensile test specimen geometry specification of matrix	94
4.11 Typical engineering properties of composite material used in the current research	95
5.1 Element type description	102
5.2 Description of elements and nodes' numbers of tested models	110
5.3 The critical predicted failure load of CCT models under the effect of axial compression load	118
5.4 The critical predicted failure load of CCT models under the effect of lateral compression load	123



5.5	The critical predicted failure load of CCT3, RCCT, and CRCT models under the effect of axial compression load	128
5.6	The critical predicted failure load of CCT3, RCCT, and CRCT models under the effect of lateral compression load	132
5.7	The critical predicted failure load of CCT3, RCCT, TRCT and TZCT models under the effect of axial load	136
5.8	The critical predicted failure load of CCT3, RCCT, TRCT and TZCT models under the effect of lateral load	140
5.9	The critical predicted failure load of CCT3, RCCT-16, RCCT-18, RCCT-20 and RCCT-22 models under the effect of axial compression load	144
5.10	The critical predicted failure load of CCT3, RCCT-16, RCCT-18, RCCT-20 and RCCT-22 models under the effect of lateral compression load	149
6.1	Crashworthiness parameters of cylindrical specimens subjected to axial compression load	159
6.2	Crashworthiness parameters of cylindrical specimens subjected to lateral compression load	166
6.3	Crashworthiness parameters of CCT3, RCCT, and CRCT subjected to axial compression load	185
6.4	Crashworthiness parameters of CCT3, RCCT, and CRCT subjected to lateral compression load	193
6.5	Crashworthiness parameters of CCT3, RCCT, TRCT and TZCT subjected to axial compression load	205
6.6	Crashworthiness parameters of CCT3, RCCT, TRCT and TZCT subjected to lateral compression load	213
6.7	Crashworthiness parameters of CCT3, RCCT-16, TRCT, RCCT-18, RCCT-20 and RCCT-22 subjected to axial compression load	228
6.8	Crashworthiness parameters of CCT3, RCCT-16, TRCT, RCCT-18, RCCT-20 and RCCT-22 subjected to lateral compression load	238
7.1	Crashworthiness parameters of all tested models subjected to axial compression load	249
7.2	Crashworthiness parameters of all tested models subjected to lateral compression load	249

7.3	The critical predicted failure load of all tested models under the effect of axial compression load	269
7.4	The critical predicted failure load of all tested models under the effect of lateral compression load	269

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
2.1 Forms of woven cloth	13
2.2 An orthotropic material	19
2.3 Representative volume element of a unidirectional composite	21
2.4 Orientation of principal material axes	24
2.5 Loads acting on a laminate	28
2.6 Laminate nomenclature	29
2.7 Typical Load-Displacement curve for progressive folding: I, fold initiation; II Progressive folding; III, Compaction	38
2.8 The force-displacement characteristics of an ideal energy absorber	39
2.9 Transverses shearing crushing mode	42
2.10 Lamina bending crushing mode	43
2.11 Local buckling crushing mode	44
2.12 Various variables that influence energy absorption capability of composite materials	47
3.1 Flow chart describes the plan to carry out the work	62
3.2 Flow chart describes the specimen preparation	63
3.3 Energy absorption capability as testing criteria between research phases	64
3.4 Flow chart describes specimens testing criteria	65
3.5 Flow chart describes the finite element simulation work	66
4.1 Notation used for CCT, RCCT, and CRCT specimens (from left to right respectively)	69
4.2 Detail description of sinusoidal profile	70
4.3 Detail description of triangular profile	70
4.4 Detail description of trapezoidal profile	71

4.5	Detail description of the profile for combined structure	71
4.6	Schematic diagram of woven roving wound fabrication process	73
4.7	Types of tested specimens (left to right) Cylindrical Composite Tube (CCT), Radial Corrugated Composite Tube (RCCT), and Combined Composite Tube (CRCT)	74
4.8	Types of tested specimens (right to left): Cylindrical Composite Tube (CCT), corrugated tube with sinusoidal profile (RCCT), triangular profile (TRCT), and trapezoidal profile (TZCT)	75
4.9	Types of tested specimens (right to left): Corrugated tube with 16 corrugations (RCCT-16), tube with 18 corrugations (RCCT-18), tube with 20 corrugations (RCCT-20), and tube with 22 corrugations (RCCT-22)	75
4.10	Side elevation view of the machine	77
4.11	Cross-section view of the mandrel shows the assembly parts	77
4.12	Typical sketch of specimens subjected (a) Axial compressive load, (b) Lateral compressive load	80
4.13	Composite specimens for fiber volume fraction test before burning	82
4.14	Photos of burning history of specimens for fiber volume fraction test, (a) Electrical furnace, (b) furnace temperature scale, (c) Specimens inside the furnace, (d) specimens inside the cans before burning, (e) specimens inside the cans after burning, (f) all tested specimens after burning	83
4.15	Samples of epoxy resin matrix inside three flasks	86
4.16	Flat composite sheet and square composite tube (source of tensile test specimens)	91
4.17	(0/90) woven roving fiber glass tensile test specimens	92
4.18	(45/45) woven roving fiber glass tensile test specimens	92
4.19	Tensile test specimens of matrix	94
4.20	Tensile test photos of composite material (a) Tensile test machine and apparatus used, (b) Strain gauges connected to specimens, (c) Extensometer fixed on hold specimen, (d) Longitudinal and transverse strain gauges attached to a specimen, (e) composite specimen after test, and (f) matrix specimen after test	96
4.21	Typical tensile Load-Displacement curve of matrix (epoxy)	97

4.22	Typical tensile Load-Displacement curve of composite material	97
5.1	Quadratic thick shell element (QTS8)	98
5.2	An isometric view of typical mesh with attributes for big size Cylindrical Composite Tube model (CCT1) subjected to axial compression load	101
5.3	An isometric view of typical mesh with attributes for medium size Cylindrical Composite Tube model (CCT2) subjected to axial compression load	101
5.4	An isometric view of typical mesh with attributes for small size Cylindrical Composite Tube model (CCT3) subjected to axial compression load	102
5.5	An isometric view of typical mesh with attributes for Radial Corrugated Composite Tube model (RCCT-16) subjected to axial compression load	102
5.6	An isometric view of typical mesh with attributes for Combined Radial Corrugated Tube model (CRCT) subjected to axial compression load	103
5.7	An isometric view of typical mesh with attributes for Triangular Profile Corrugated Tube model (TRCT) subjected to axial compression load	103
5.8	An isometric view of typical mesh with attributes for Trapezoidal Profile Corrugated Tube model (TZCT) subjected to axial compression load	104
5.9	Typical mesh with attributes for Sinusoidal Profile Corrugated Tube model with 18-corrugation (RCCT-18) subjected to axial compression load	104
5.10	Typical mesh with attributes for Sinusoidal Profile Corrugated Tube model with 20-corrugation (RCCT-20) subjected to axial compression load	105
5.11	Typical mesh with attributes for Sinusoidal Profile Corrugated Tube model with 22-corrugation (RCCT-22) subjected to axial compression load	105
5.12	Typical mesh with attributes for CCT1 model subjected to lateral compression load	107
5.13	Typical mesh with attributes for CCT2 model subjected to lateral compression load	107
5.14	Typical mesh with attributes for CCT3 model subjected to lateral compression load	108
5.15	Typical mesh with attributes for RCCT model subjected to	

	lateral compression load	108
5.16	Typical mesh with attributes for CRCT model subjected to lateral compression load	109
5.17	Typical mesh with attributes for TRCT model subjected to lateral compression load	109
5.18	Typical mesh with attributes for TZCT model subjected to lateral compression load	110
5.19	Typical mesh with attributes for RCCT-18 model subjected to lateral compression load	110
5.20	Typical mesh with attributes for RCCT-20 model subjected to lateral compression load	111
5.21	Typical mesh with attributes for RCCT-22 model subjected to lateral compression load	111
5.22	Position of load and restrain on cross-sectional view of CCT, TRCT, TZCT, CRCT, RCCT-20, and RCCT-22 (from left to right, up to down)	112
5.23	Computed critical load of CCT models subjected to axial compression load	114
5.24	Isometric views of deformed mesh and stress contour for CCT1 model (from up to down) under axial compression load	115
5.25	Isometric views of deformed mesh and stress contour for CCT2 model (from up to down) under axial compression load	116
5.26	Isometric views of deformed mesh and stress contour for CCT3 model (from up to down) under axial compression load	117
5.27	Computed critical load of CCT models subjected to lateral compression load	119
5.28	Isometric views of deformed mesh and stress contour for CCT1 model (from up to down) under lateral compression load	120
5.29	Isometric views of deformed mesh and stress contour for CCT2 model (from up to down) under lateral compression load	121
5.30	Isometric views of deformed mesh and stress contour for CCT3 model (from up to down) under lateral compression load	122
5.31	Computed critical load of CCT3, RCCT, and CRCT models subjected to axial compression load	124
5.32	Isometric views of deformed mesh and stress contour for RCCT model	